# EDUC 740 Reading Teacher Portfolio Fall 2019 September 30 – December 6

Canvas online learning environment & Open Lab Saturday, October 5th, 9-12

Dr. Cindy Cate SOE ■ CPS 456 715.412.1585 ■ ccate@uwsp.edu

### COURSE DESCRIPTION

This one credit hybrid reading teacher portfolio course supports students as they *begin the process* of building an online reading teacher portfolio. An open lab, on campus, is scheduled for Saturday, October 5<sup>th</sup>, 9-12 (location will be shared). Students independently decide on the type of website builder they'd like to use to organize and showcase their teaching and professional experiences AND coursework. In this course, students create 3 artifacts from course assignments pulled from EDUC 741 and other reading courses taken. and design a portfolio map (e.g., tab sections, introduction page, table of contents, standards page, etc.) for easy and predictable navigation of content. The Wisconsin Teaching Standards and ILA Standards for Reading Professionals frame the content of course artifacts (p. 5). Your first artifact, the literacy narrative, is from EDUC 741 Improvement in Reading. Introductions and reflections must accompany all artifacts. Again, this course supports the "start-up" of course and non-course artifacts (i.e., 2 course and 1 noncourse artifact required) and the specific format of the online teacher portfolio (i.e., the portfolio map). The reading portfolio artifact rubric (p. 4) is used to assess each artifact and your comprehensive portfolio. Course Requirements:

Understand the difference between an assignment and artifact

- Preview the Reading Portfolio Artifact Rubric (p. 4); know that it aligns with the rubric that the reading coordinator also utilizes to assess your comprehensive online portfolio
- Read, review, and become familiar with D2L content material related to portfolio development
   especially the sample online portfolios located in the content area
- Design a unique yet easy-to-follow **portfolio map which "maps" out** an introduction, subject tabs (e.g., teacher as leader), standards correlation page, and so forth
- Create a minimum of 3 artifacts each with an introduction, solid content, reflection, teaching standards, and supporting evidence (e.g., visuals, documents, links, handouts, etc.)
- Design artifacts that are appealing to the person viewing the portfolio
- Respond to 2 peer submissions a week, provide brief yet specific feedback; post your artifacts for peer feedback in a timely manner (see schedule, p. 2)

#### **COURSE EXPECTATIONS**

The course assignments and expectations are designed to help you begin the process of creating a reading teacher portfolio. Therefore, students in this course will:

- Submit ALL assignments by the posted due dates (see p. 2)
- Use proper spelling, punctuation and grammar. Proofread work before submitting.
- Participate in the D2L Discussion Area in a professional manner (see p. 2) and email the instructor if late for posting or peer feedback. Active participation in class is an important part of the learning process.
- Conduct yourself as a professional educator should conduct him/herself.
- Understand that failure to comply with the above expectations will result in deduction of points beyond those of the 10 participation points

## **D2L DISCUSSION REQUIREMENTS**

Artifacts must be submitted in the **Discussion Folder** by the due date. Peers will have until Wednesday to respond – **Peer Response**. You will then have time to edit/revise for the final submission – **Final Dropbox**.

	<b>Discussion</b> Folder	Peer Response	Final Dropbox (DUE)
Artifact 1 Course-	Sunday, Oct. 13	Wednesday, Oct.16	Sunday, October 20
Related (Literacy			
Narrative-from 741)			
Artifact 2 Non-course	Sunday, Oct. 27	Wednesday, Oct. 30	Sunday, Nov. 3
related			
Artifact 3 Course-	Sunday, Nov. 17	Wednesday, Nov. 20	Sunday, Nov. 24
Related			
Portfolio Map	Throughout course	Throughout course	Friday, Dec. 6
			_

These 3 above artifacts and a portfolio map—which includes a cover page, introduction, table of contents, standards page, etc.—serve as a springboard and outline to continue adding to your portfolio throughout your coursework as you move to completion of your Reading Teacher licensure.

## **Artifact Comments & Dialogue**

Please provide specific feedback for responding to peers' papers. Specific feedback will be discussed at the first meeting. Constructive comments matter and should add to the improvement of final submissions. Comments vary, and include content and visual aspects. Of course personal conversation is not discouraged; it helps everyone to get to know each other.

## **GRADING**

You have 4 assignments (artifacts) for this course. Each artifact is worth 25 points and is scored using the Reading Portfolio Artifact Rubric (see page 4). Please refer to this rubric as you create your artifacts. This rubric closely aligns to the 316 reading portfolio rubric that is used to assess your final reading portfolio submission.

#### **POINTS**

100-90 A 89-80 B 79-70 C

## **UWSP Community Bill of Rights and Responsibilities**

UWSP values a safe, honest, respectful, and inviting learning environment. In order to ensure that each student has the opportunity to succeed, a set of expectations have been developed for all students and instructors. This set of expectations is known as the Rights and Responsibilities document, and it is intended to help establish a positive living and learning environment at UWSP. For more information go to: <a href="http://www.uwsp.edu/stuaffairs/Pages/rightsandresponsibilites.aspx">http://www.uwsp.edu/stuaffairs/Pages/rightsandresponsibilites.aspx</a>.

The Rights and Responsibilities document also includes the policies regarding academic misconduct, which can be found in Chapter 14. A direct link can be found here: http://www.uwsp.edu/stuaffairs/Documents/RightsRespons/SRR-2010/rightsChap14.pdf.

## **Americans with Disabilities Act**

The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) is a federal law requiring educational institutions to provide reasonable accommodations for students with disabilities. For more information about UWSP's policies, check here:

http://www.uwsp.edu/stuaffairs/Documents/RightsRespons/ADA/rightsADAPolicyinfo.pdf.

If you have a disability and require classroom and/or exam accommodations, please register with the Disability Services Office and then contact me at the beginning of the course. I am happy to help in any way I can. For more information, please visit the Disability Services Office, located on the 6<sup>th</sup> floor of the Learning Resource Center (the Library). You can also find more information here: <a href="http://www4.uwsp.edu/special/disability/">http://www4.uwsp.edu/special/disability/</a>.

The course instructor uses the following rubric to assess your 3 artifacts and portfolio map. It is slightly modified to fit the tasks of this course but is very similar to the one the reading coordinator uses to assess your comprehensive online reading portfolio (once you've completed all your coursework). Each artifact and the portfolio map is worth 25 points.

READING PORTFOLIO "ARTIFACT" RUBRIC/25 Poir				
Criteria	5 Exceptional	3 Acceptable	1 Unacceptable/Incomplete	
Introduction, Reflection & Projection	Rich artifact accompanied by articulate introduction	Accurate explanation of artifacts with adequate introduction	Introduction lacks explanation of artifact	
	<ul> <li>Reflection illustrates a developed ability to self-critique</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reflection reveals ability to self- critique</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reflection illustrates little depth of thought</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Abundant evidence of learning &amp; application to future growth as a literacy teacher</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Acceptable amount of evidence of learning &amp; application to future growth as a literacy teacher</li> </ul>	Little or no evidence of learning     & application to future     growth as a literacy teacher	
Quality of Artifacts	High quality artifact and work samples drawn from literacy program coursework/experience	Selection of artifact acceptable and work sample draws from literacy program	Artifact does not draw from coursework/experience	
	Creativity provides complete and rounded picture of candidate's	coursework/experience Satisfactory picture of candidate's	<ul> <li>Inadequate picture of candidate's strengths &amp; personality</li> </ul>	
	<ul><li>strengths &amp; personality</li><li>Attention to audience</li></ul>	strength & personality revealed  Some attention to audience	Little attention to audience     Irrelevant artifact of poor	
	Interesting and relevant	Relevant artifact of good quality	or questionable quality	
Attention to Performance Task, Evidence of Learning/Doing & Standards	<ul> <li>Polished with no editing errors</li> <li>Artifact relates to performance task (coursework) for 316 license</li> <li>Provides self-initiated evidence of learning &amp; doing through Teacher as Learner, Leader, Practitioner, and in Community</li> <li>WTS &amp; IRA Standards for Reading Professionals included and relate to artifact</li> </ul>	Some editing errors      Artifact related to performance tasks (coursework) for 316 license      Some self-initiated evidence of Teacher as Learner, Leader, Practitioner, and in Community      Standards included but some may not relate to artifact	Many editing errors      Artifacts unrelated to Performance     Tasks and/or experience      Few or no self-initiated pieces and evidence relating to learning & doing      Standards not included or not related	
Overall Artifact Design	<ul> <li>Well organized</li> <li>Creative, polished with professional appearance</li> <li>Variety and balance of media, photos &amp; text</li> <li>Descriptive details</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Adequate organization</li> <li>Some evidence of creativity &amp; professional appearance</li> <li>General balance of media, photos &amp; text</li> <li>Some descriptive details</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Basic organizational structure &amp; confusing to follow</li> <li>Lacks creativity &amp; professional appearance</li> <li>Little to no media, imbalance between photos &amp; text</li> <li>Little or no descriptive details</li> </ul>	
Due Dates Recognized & Quality Peer Responses	<ul> <li>Assignment dropped on time</li> <li>Peer response helpful and thought-provoking</li> </ul>	Assignment 1 day late     Response was acceptable	Assignment was dropped late with no explanation     Limited response	

Intersection of WTS and ILA Standards				
WISCONSIN TEACHER STANDARDS		ILA STANDARDS		
1.	Teachers know the subject they are teaching	ILA Standard 1: Foundational Knowledge		
2.	Teachers know how children grow.	ILA Standard 1: Foundational Knowledge ILA Standard 2: Curriculum & Instruction		
3.	Teachers understand that children learn differently.	ILA Standard 2: Curriculum & Instruction ILA Standard 3: Assessment & Evaluation ILA Standard 4: Diversity & Equity		
4.	Teachers know how to teach.	ILA Standard 2: Curriculum & Instruction ILA Standard 5: Literate Environment		
5.	Teachers know how to manage a classroom.	ILA Standard 5: Learners & the Literate Environment		
6.	Teachers communicate well.	ILA Standard 5: Learners and the Literate Environment		
7.	Teachers are able to plan different kinds of lessons.	ILA Standard 2: Curriculum & Instruction ILA Standard 3: Assessment & Evaluation ILA Standard 4: Diversity & Equity		
8.	Teachers know how to test for student progress.	ILA Standard 3: Assessment & Evaluation		
9.	Teachers are able to evaluate themselves.	ILA Standard 6: Professional Learning & Leadership		
10.	Teachers are connected with other teachers and the community.	ILA Standard 6: Professional Learning & Leadership		

Standards addressed in your portfolio will include the Wisconsin Teaching Standards and ILA Standards for Reading Professionals. https://www.literacyworldwide.org/get-resources/standards/standards-2017

IRA Standard 7; Practicum & Clinical Experiences – Correlates to all 10 WTS

### DIFFERENCE BETWEEN AN ASSIGNMENT AND ARTIFACT

All graduate students seeking a 316 license are required to create (and continue adding to) a Reading Teacher Online Portfolio. EDUC 740 Reading Teaching Portfolio is a 1 credit course designed to support you in the **beginning stages** of creating a teaching portfolio. You will want to shape assignments from education classes into portfolio artifacts for an audience beyond the instructor. The audiences in the future might include: interview teams, colleagues, peers working in your field, students, and parents. The following chart should help in your thinking about the difference in an assignment and in an artifact.

**Assignments** Artifacts

Written for professor or teacher	Written for broader audiences:
	Interview teams, colleagues, peers, students,
	parents
Often written multiple page text documents.	Written with special attention to audience
Word processed documents. Font = Times	appeal: Photos, Titles, Headings, Color,
Roman (because it is the default setting)	Graphics, and more. Arial Font.
Word documents	Newsletters, pamphlets, power points, web
	pages, and more.
Word document stand-alone	Includes <b>Introduction</b> (explaining the context,
	rationale for the artifact, and highlights features
	and a Reflection: describing process of
	creating artifacts, lessons learned while creating
	it, intentions and future plans for artifact.

# PORTFOLIO MAP & SECTIONS (tabs)

You will want your portfolio to have a blend of learning from courses, reading, professional development experiences, and your own work in schools. **The portfolio map is outlined in the sections below. These sections (tabs and/or buttons, online) provide a space to share artifacts.** You will want to provide evidence of learning and doing. A portfolio is a way to make your teaching practices and teacher thinking visible. <u>Suggested artifacts</u> in each section:

#### INTRODUCTION

- Welcome Page: History of self life experiences, journey to teaching, history as teacher
- Table of Contents
- Standards Correlation Page
- Dedication Page, etc.

NOTE: Each artifact must include the related WTS/ILA standards and link back to a standards correlation page.

#### TEACHER AS LEARNER or MY ROLES AS LEARNER

- Performance Task Artifacts for Graduate Courses
- Conference, Workshop, Professional Meeting Reflections and Application to Teaching
- Professional Reading Artifacts (Responses to reading and applications in teaching)
- Study Group Evidence

#### TEACHER AS LEADER

- Explanation and Reflection of Roles and Responsibilities as a Title 1 Teacher or Reading Specialist
- Professional Development Plan or Goals for Developing Successful Reading Program (class or School-wide)
- Staff Development Artifacts: Leading Inservices or Workshop, Mentoring Artifacts

#### TEACHER AS PRACTITIONER

- Beliefs About Teaching Reading
- Units of study a series of lesson plans showing approaches to teaching reading.
- Inquiry lesson plans lessons focusing on central questions.
- Evidence of adaptations of instructions to meet the needs of diverse readers
- Evidence of documenting student literacy growth (sample running records, other methods of documenting, chart or graph with introduction, analysis, and implications for future instruction.
- Evidence of class procedures and routines that reveal attention to balanced literacy programs
- Representative examples of choices of instructional materials.
- Student Work Artifacts: Photo Essay describing process and teacher thinking; sample of student
  works and teacher's response, sample student work with self-reflection and teacher response,
  samples of student authentic work with explanation of processes leading to products

#### **TEACHER IN COMMUNITY**

- Documentation of participation in school activities and analysis of your role with reflection
- Evidence of communication with parents and community with reflection
- Evidence of knowledge of community agencies and you use of them